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1. Motivation and research questions
Motivation

• German *Energiewende*:
  • Expansion of variable renewable power generation
  • Largely based on wind power and PV
  • Decrease of thermal generation

• Specific characteristics of wind and PV:
  • Fluctuating availability
  • Short-term deviations from forecasts
  • Spatial disparities: generation, load, existing networks

• Different infrastructure options for renewable integration:
Research question

• Preferable combinations of infrastructure options for renewable integration in Germany by 2024 / 2034?
  • Capacities and spatial distribution
  • Interactions between these options

• Infrastructure options included in the analysis:
  • Flexible gas-fired power plants (CCGT and OCGT)
  • Pumped hydro storage
  • Transmission upgrades (AC and DC)
  • Renewable curtailment (no investments)

Scenario-based planning perspective, not a forecast.
1. The model, input parameters, and scenarios
The optimization model

- Combined optimization of dispatch, transmission and investments
  - Minimization of total costs
  - DC load-flow approach
  - Integer investment variables $\rightarrow$ MILP

- Endogenous variables:
  - Investment
  - Dispatch
  - Load flow
The optimization model

- Exogenous parameters:
  - Baseline stock of thermal generation capacities
  - Baseline transmission network
  - Renewable capacities (fully exogenous)
  - Load (four weeks, every second hour)
  - Variable costs and specific investments

- Drawing on official NEP assumptions
Generation capacities based on NEP scenarios B 2024 und B 2034

2024: 10 GW planned (12%) // 2034: 20 GW planned (25%)
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Investment options

- **AC lines:**
  - Extensions of all existing lines possible with additional 380 kV circuits (1.7 GW)

- **DC point-to-point connections:**
  - Six connectors in steps of 1 GW

- **Gas-fired power plants:**
  - CCGT and OCGT in steps of 500 MW
  - At ten important network nodes

- **Pumped hydro storage:**
  - Projects with specific capacities and locations
## Investment scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Investments in</th>
<th>Costs of RES curtailment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gas-fired plants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference scenario</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased curtailment 100</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased curtailment 1000</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No network extension</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exogenous storage</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Results
Results in the reference scenario

**2024:**
- 8 GW CCGT, largely in southern Germany
- No pumped storage (arbitrage revenues are low)
- Selected network upgrades
- RES-share of 48%, curtailment of 1,3 TWh (0,5%)

**2034:**
- 16,5 GW CCGT in southern and western Germany
- Small pumped hydro investments
- Network enforcements SN/TH-BY and NI-NW
- RES-share of 60%, curtailment of 5,7 TWh (1,7%)
### Investments in other scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Decreased curtailment 100 EUR/MWh</th>
<th>Decreased curtailment 1000 EUR/MWh</th>
<th>No network extension</th>
<th>Exogenous storage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2024</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Map 2024 Ref" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Map 2024 100" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Map 2024 1000" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Map 2024 Exo" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2034</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Map 2034 Ref" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Map 2034 100" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Map 2034 1000" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Map 2034 Exo" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Reference**
  - Decreased curtailment: 100 EUR/MWh
  - Decreased curtailment: 1000 EUR/MWh

- **No network extension**

- **Exogenous storage**

> High investments in DC lines  
> Larger thermal investments
Without network extensions: high RES curtailment

Additional storage not only fosters renewable integration, but also (temporarily) increases generation from coal
Changes in system costs compared to reference scenario

- Highest costs in case without network extension
- Increasing marginal cost of decreased curtailment
- Exogenous storage capacities only slightly increase costs
  ➔ Compensated by additional system value?
1. Discussion of limitations
Discussion of model limitations

• General parameter uncertainty
• Selection of hours of a specific base year
• No exchange with neighboring countries:
  • Infrastructure demand should generally be overestimated
  • Uncertain developments in other countries
  • Political relevance of domestic renewable integration
• Other flexibility options are neglected (e.g., DSM)
• MILP: Optimality gap in same dimension as investment projects

→ Analysis provides general tendency
→ No strong statements on specific projects!
1. Conclusions
Conclusions

• Energiewende increasingly requires infrastructure investments
• Thermal plants, storage and networks are partly substitutes, partly complements
• Mix of all options leads to least-cost solution
• Thermal investments:
  • Optimal location reduces network extension
  • How to ensure right placement - market design?
• Pumped storage:
  • Moderate investments appear to be a „no-regret“ option
• Network expansion
  • Several projects can foster renewable integration at very low costs
Vielen Dank für Ihre Aufmerksamkeit.
Model equations

• Objective: Minimization of annualized system costs

\[
\min_{g_{p,t}, r_{n,s,t}, ps_b,t, i_{ac}, i_{dc}} \quad \text{costs} = \left[ \sum_{p,t} (C_{p,t} \cdot g_{p,t}) + \sum_{cap,t} (V_C \cdot \text{cap}_{n,cap,t}) \right] \cdot Yh
\]

\[
+ \sum_{n,cap} (F_C \cdot \text{cap}_{n,cap})
+ \sum_{sto} (F_S \cdot \text{sto}_{sto})
+ \sum_{ac} (F_A \cdot \text{ac}_{ac})
+ \sum_{dc} (F_D \cdot \text{dc}_{dc})
\]

Variable generation cost
Investment costs generation capacity
Investment costs storage
Investment costs AC lines
Investment costs DC lines

• Constraints:

\[
g_{p,t} \leq \bar{g}_{p,t}
\]

\[
r_{n,s,t} \leq \bar{r}_{n,s,t}
\]

\[
\bar{p} \bar{s}_b,t + \bar{p} \bar{s}_{b,t} \leq \bar{P} \bar{S}_b
\]

\[
ps_{level,b,t} \leq \bar{L} \bar{S}_b
\]

\[
ps_{level,b,t} = 0.75 \bar{p} \bar{s}_{b,t} - \bar{p} \bar{s}_{b,t} + ps_{level,b,t-1}
\]

\[
|p_{f_{ac},t}| \leq (\bar{P}_a + i \cdot \text{ac}_{ac} \times 1.7) \times \text{TRM}
\]

\[
n_{i,n,t} = \sum_{n} (\theta_{n,n,t} \cdot B_{n,n})
\]

\[
p_{f_{ac},t} = \sum_{n} (\theta_{n,t} \cdot H_{ac,n})
\]

\[
\text{slack}_{n} \times \theta_{n,t} = 0
\]

\[
g_{,cap_{n,cap,t}} \leq i \cdot \text{cap}_{n,cap} \times 0.5
\]

\[
\frac{ps_{sto,t}}{ps_{sto,t}} + \frac{ps_{sto,t}}{ps_{sto,t}} \leq \frac{P_S}{s_{sto,t}} \times i \cdot \text{sto}_{sto}
\]

\[
ps_{level,sto_{sto,t}} \leq \frac{L_S}{sto_{sto}} \times i \cdot \text{sto}_{sto}
\]

\[
ps_{level,sto_{sto,t}} = 0.75 \frac{ps_{sto_{sto,t}}}{ps_{sto_{sto,t}}} - \frac{ps_{sto_{sto,t}}}{ps_{sto_{sto,t}}} + ps_{level,sto_{sto,t-1}}
\]

\[
|n_{i,dc_{dc,t}}| \leq i \cdot dc_{dc} \times 1.0
\]

\[
\sum_{p \in \Omega} g_{p,t} + \sum_{\Omega} r_{n,s,t} - Q_{n,t}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{p \in \Omega} (p_{s_{b,t}} - p_{b,t})
\]

\[
+ \sum_{\text{cap}} g_{,cap_{n,cap,t}}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{\text{sto}_{sto,t}} (p_{s_{sto_{sto,t}}} - p_{s_{sto_{sto,t}}})
\]

\[
+ n_{i,n,t} + (\sum_{dc \in n} n_{dc_{dc,t}} \times Inc. dc_{dc,n}) = 0
\]
## Investment parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific investments</th>
<th>Life time in years</th>
<th>Efficiency in percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(mn EUR/km)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC transmission lines</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC transmission lines</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mn EUR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC transformer</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC converter</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mn EUR/GW)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCGT power plants</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>35 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCGT power plants</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>30 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumped hydro storage</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>40 80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Limitations with regard to storage

• Balancing of residual load:
  • Daily balancing (arbitrage)
  • Lower load gradients / lower ramping requirements
  • Contribution to peak load
  • Integration of RES surpluses

• System security:
  • Frequency control
  • Voltage control

• Congestion management

→ Some relevant applications are not included
→ Investments in storage may be underestimated